UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JOSEPH R. GOURD,

Plaintiff,

v. 9:15-CV-0513 (BKS/DJS)

WASHINGTON COUNTY, NEW YORK, et al,

Defendants.

Appearances:

Joseph R. GourdBallston Spa, NY 12020
Plaintiff, pro se

Bradley J. Stevens, Esq.
Gregg T. Johnson, Esq.
Lemire, Johnson & Higgins, LLC
P.O. Box 2485
2534 Route 9
Malta, NY 12020
Attorney for Defendants

Hon. Brenda K. Sannes, United States District Judge:

MEMORANDUM-DECISION AND ORDER

Plaintiff Joseph R. Gourd, a former New York State inmate, commenced this action alleging that the Defendants violated his rights under the Eighth Amendment by failing to prevent and timely stop an assault and battery upon Plaintiff by another inmate. Dkt. No. 1-1. On January 15, 2016, Defendants filed a motion to dismiss under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41 for failure to prosecute. Dkt. No. 20. Plaintiff did not respond to Defendants' motion. On February 8, 2016 a notice that had been mailed to Plaintiff was returned to the Court as undeliverable. Dkt. No. 23.

This matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Daniel J. Stewart who, on

September 2, 2016, issued a Report-Recommendation and Order recommending that Defendants'

motion to dismiss be granted and this action be dismissed. Dkt. No. 24. Magistrate Judge

Stewart advised the parties that under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), they had fourteen days within

which to file written objections to the report, and that the failure to object to the report within

fourteen days would preclude appellate review. Dkt. No. 24, pp. 5-6. No objections to the

Report-Recommendation have been filed.

As no objections to the Report-Recommendation have been filed, and the time for filing

objections has expired, the Court reviews the Report-Recommendation for clear error. See

Petersen v. Astrue, 2 F. Supp. 3d 223, 228-29 (N.D.N.Y. 2012); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) advisory

committee's note to 1983 amendment. Having reviewed the Report-Recommendation for clear

error and found none, the Report-Recommendation is adopted in its entirety.

For these reasons, it is

ORDERED that the Report-Recommendation (Dkt. No. 24) is **ADOPTED** in its

entirety; and it is further

ORDERED that Defendants' motion to dismiss for failure to prosecute (Dkt. No. 20) is

GRANTED and this action is **DISMISSED**; and it is further

ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this Order upon the parties in accordance with

the Local Rules.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 21, 2016

Brenda K. Sannes

heudat Samo

U.S. District Judge

2